

- ① I agree with all the substance below and believe this letter has been prepared by decent folk who are motivated by fair-mindedness.
- ② My own view identifies those who wish to turn Canberra Airport precinct into a hellish transport and industrial hub ~~as being so~~ disregarding of the welfare of their fellow citizens and this city's unique conception, that their actions and intentions are essentially criminal. Grievous harm to the human body, and in many cases, animal bodies, is already subject to criminal procedures. (sample submission on Canberra International Airport's preliminary draft Master Plan 2009)

Canberra Airport
2 Brindabella Circuit
Brindabella Business Park
CANBERRA AIRPORT ACT 2609

Dear Sir/Madam,

In response to your invitation for public comment on your 2009 Preliminary Draft Master Plan I wish to make the following objections.

Inadequate Information on Aircraft Noise

You propose to operate a 24 hour freight hub at Canberra Airport. This is a significant change to the current usage of the airport, involving bigger, noisier and more frequent planes. The community has the right to know how a freight hub would impact on our homes, and particularly our sleeping hours. I do not consider that your draft Master Plan discharges this onus of proof, because your information is neither accessible, nor accurate. You state that 'no residents within the ACT... will be exposed at any time to noise over 65 decibels...' (page 80), but existing 737 aircraft regularly generate 65 decibels and over in Hackett according to the new Hackett Noise Monitor (see WebTrak at www.cbr.webtrak-lochard.com). Independent noise information is crucial to any meaningful community consultation on the proposed 24-hour freight hub, and I therefore request the right to further comment after the noise expert appointed by the ACT Government reports later this year.

Unacceptable Disturbance of Sleep

There are clear standards from the World Health Organisation about the noise levels at which sleep disturbance occurs, and the consequent adverse impacts on health. These must be recognised. Your claims that existing aircraft noise protection measures will adequately protect residents from future aircraft noise are not supported by any evidence, and I have no confidence in them. You are encroaching on our treasured peace and quiet, which once lost will be gone forever. Sydney residents have their sleep protected from aircraft noise by a curfew from 11pm to 6am, and as a matter of equity we expect the same.

My requests

- A night time curfew on aircraft movements from 11pm to 6 am
- No 24 hour freight hub or second Sydney airport
- Recognition of health standards regarding sleep disturbance.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Gould, 1/5/09.

(Name & address & date)

Ainslie, ACT. 2602

- ③ I shall circulate this message to politicians and canvass the idea of extending criminal law to target those who harm the ambient qualities of life.

I reckon it will not be long before laws are enacted whereby those who do grievous harm to essential qualities of life, such as serenity, will be eligible for prosecution.

It was Macbeth who recognized he had "murdered sleep". We have seen good metaphors become laws, look forward to some determined prosecutions of sleep murderers.

Yours sincerely
A.D.S.

- 4 MAY 2009



Alan Gould,

Ainslie, ACT 2602.

To Canberra Airport:

Preliminary Draft 2009 Master Plan Submission

I'll reproduce below my scrawl around the edges of that round-up letter, though it might leave you with text disconnected from its point of reference. If you feel the better option is to paste the scrawl and round-up letter as it is, feel free. If my typing of the substance is a help in legibility, please go with that.

Thanks,
Alan

(Text of scrawl)

1. I agree with all the substance below and believe this letter has been prepared by decent folk who have been motivated by fair-mindedness.
2. My own view identifies those who wish to turn Canberra Airport precinct into a hellish transport and industrial hub as being so disregarding of the welfare of their fellow citizens and this city's unique conception, that their actions and intentions are essentially criminal. Grievous harm to the human body, and in many cases to an animal's body, is already subject to criminal procedures. I reckon it will not be long before laws are enacted whereby those who do grievous harm to essential **qualities** of life, such as serenity, will be eligible for prosecution. It was Macbeth who recognised he had 'murdered sleep'. We have seen good metaphors become law, and I look forward to some determined prosecutions of 'sleep murderers,
3. I shall circulate this message to politicians and canvas the idea of extending criminal law to target those who harm the ambient qualities of life.